

# Who has more developed performance measurement in Croatia? Public hospitals or higher education institutions

---

**Budimir, Verica; Vašiček, Vesna; Letinić, svjetlana**

*Source / Izvornik:* **Međunarodni znanstveni simpozij Gospodarstvo istočne Hrvatske - jučer, danas, sutra, 2020, 902 - 916**

**Conference paper / Rad u zborniku**

*Publication status / Verzija rada:* **Published version / Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)**

*Permanent link / Trajna poveznica:* <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:112:150901>

*Rights / Prava:* [In copyright](#) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

*Download date / Datum preuzimanja:* **2025-02-06**



**VELEUČILIŠTE U POŽEGI**  
STUDIA SUPERIORA POSEGANA

*Repository / Repozitorij:*

[Repository of Polytechnic in Pozega - Polytechnic in Pozega Graduate Thesis Repository](#)



DIGITALNI AKADEMSKI ARHIVI I REPOZITORIJI



J. J. STROSSMAYER  
UNIVERSITY  
OF OSIJEK



FACULTY OF  
ECONOMICS  
IN OSIJEK



UNIVERSITY  
IN MARIBOR  
Faculty of  
Economics  
and Business



UNIVERSITY IN  
TUZLA  
Faculty of  
Economics in Tuzla



CROATIAN  
ACADEMY OF  
ARTS AND SCIENCES  
The Institute for  
scientific and art research  
work in Osijek

# RED 2020

9<sup>th</sup> International Scientific Symposium

**REGION  
ENTREPRENEURSHIP  
DEVELOPMENT**

ISSN 1848-9559



9 771848 955005



SVEUČILIŠTE J. J.  
STROSSMAYERA  
U OSIJEKU

J. J. STROSSMAYER  
UNIVERSITY  
OF OSIJEK



EKONOMSKI  
FAKULTET  
U OSIJEKU

FACULTY  
OF  
ECONOMICS  
IN OSIJEK



HRVATSKA  
AKADEMIJA  
ZNANOSTI I  
UMJETNOSTI  
Zavod za  
znanstveni i  
umjetnički rad u  
Osijeku

CROATIAN  
ACADEMY OF  
ARTS AND  
SCIENCES  
The Institute for  
scientific and art  
research work in  
Osijek



UNIVERZA  
V  
MARIBORU  
Ekonomsko-  
poslovna  
fakulteta

UNIVERSITY  
IN MARIBOR  
Faculty of  
Economics  
and Business



UNIVERZITET  
U  
TUZLI  
Ekonomski  
fakultet u Tuzli

UNIVERSITY  
IN  
TUZLA  
Faculty of  
Economics in  
Tuzla

9<sup>th</sup>  
**INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC SYMPOSIUM  
REGION, ENTREPRENEURSHIP, DEVELOPMENT**

Under the auspices of:

**REPUBLIC OF CROATIA  
MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION**

Osijek, June 2020

### ***Publishers***

Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek,  
Faculty of Economics in Osijek, Croatia

### ***For the publishers***

Mirna Leko Šimić, PhD, Faculty of  
Economics in Osijek, Croatia  
Boris Crnković, PhD, Faculty of Economics  
in Osijek, Croatia

### ***Programme Committee***

Boris Crnković, PhD, chairperson,  
Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek,  
Faculty of Economics in Osijek, Croatia  
Marko Backović, PhD, Serbia  
Samo Bobek, PhD, Slovenia  
Saša Bošnjak, PhD, Serbia  
Thomas Cleff, PhD, Germany  
Ida Erscey, PhD, Hungary  
Ulla Hytti, PhD, Finland  
Safet Kozarević, PhD, Bosnia and  
Herzegovina  
Dražen Kušen, PhD, Croatia  
Dragan Milanović, PhD, Croatia  
Chris Pentz, PhD, South Africa  
Academician Vlasta Piližota, PhD, Croatia  
Miroslav Rebernik, PhD, Slovenia  
Bodo Runzheimer, PhD, Germany  
Joaquina Sarrion Esteve, PhD, Spain  
Oleg Sidorkin, PhD, Germany  
Slavica Singer, PhD, Professor emeritus,  
Croatia  
Ermina Smajlović, PhD, Bosnia and  
Herzegovina  
Karin Širec, PhD, Slovenia  
Željko Turkalj, PhD, Croatia  
Bahrija Umihanić, PhD, Bosnia and  
Herzegovina

### ***Organizing Committee***

Mirna Leko Šimić, PhD, Chairperson,  
Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek,  
Faculty of Economics in Osijek, Croatia  
Katica Križanović, univ. spec. oec.,  
Coordinator, Croatia  
Sunčica Oberman Peterka, PhD, Croatia  
Mirela Alpeza, PhD, Croatia  
Zsolt Bedo, PhD, Hungary  
Dražen Čučić, PhD, Croatia  
Anamarija Delić, PhD, Croatia  
Nataša Drvenkar, PhD, Croatia  
Aleksandar Erceg, PhD, Croatia  
Ivana Fosić, PhD, Croatia  
Martina Harc, PhD, Croatia  
Adela Has, mag. oec., Croatia  
Tihana Koprivnjak, PhD, Croatia  
Petra Mezulić Juric, PhD., Croatia  
Ivo Mijoč, PhD, Croatia  
Ana Pap, PhD, Croatia  
Julia Perić, PhD, Croatia  
Tunjica Petrašević, PhD, Croatia  
Ljerka Sedlan König, PhD, Croatia  
Marina Stanić, PhD, Croatia  
Ivana Unukić, mag.oec, Croatia  
Ana Zrnić, univ.spec.oec., Croatia

### ***Editors***

Mirna Leko Šimić, PhD, Faculty of  
Economics in Osijek, Croatia  
Boris Crnković, PhD, Faculty of Economics  
in Osijek, Croatia

### ***Print***

Studio HS internet d.o.o., Osijek

ISSN 1848 – 9559

Previous editions of the Proceedings published under the title  
Economy of eastern Croatia – Vision and Growth

Proceedings indexed in:



**9<sup>th</sup> INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC SYMPOSIUM  
REGION, ENTREPRENEURSHIP, DEVELOPMENT**

**Content**

<b>Foreword.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Topics.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>1. Region.....</b>	<b>17</b>
Romina Alkier, Ervin Mihelj, Vedran Milojica: <b>INFLUENCE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF WOMEN FOR DEVELOPMENT OF CROATIAN TOURISM .....</b>	<b>19</b>
Martina Basarac Sertić, Marija Beg, Martina Harc: <b>THE IMPACT OF CHINA ON EUROPEAN UNION INDUSTRY .....</b>	<b>34</b>
Heri Bezić, Tomislav Galović, Davorin Balaž: <b>THE COMPETITIVENESS AND ATTRACTIVENESS OF INVESTMENT ENVIRONMENT IN CROATIA AND EX- COMMUNIST COUNTRIES .....</b>	<b>49</b>
Vlatka Bilas: <b>CHALLENGES OF BUILDING EFFICIENT REGIONAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>62</b>
Draženka Birkić, Silvija Podoljak, Paula Grgić: <b>THE BRANDING OF POŽEGA-SLAVONIA COUNTRY BY MEANS OF INTEGRAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF A TOURIST DESTINATION .....</b>	<b>74</b>
Berislav Bolfek, Elżbieta Wrońska-Bukalska, Jurica Bosna: <b>GARCH ESTIMATION OF CEE FINANCIAL INTEGRATION AS COMPARED WITH GERMANY .....</b>	<b>90</b>
Alaudin Brkić, Jasmina Džafić, Alem Merdić: <b>POSSIBILITIES AND LIMITATIONS OF IMPROVING FACTORING IN BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA: LEGAL AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS .....</b>	<b>104</b>
Mihaela Bronić: <b>ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CRISIS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNITS' BUDGETS IN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>116</b>
Iva Buljubašić, Josipa Mijoč, Ivana Jobst: <b>THE EFFECTIVENESS OF UNCONVENTIONAL MARKETING IN THEATRES: TOP MANAGEMENT THEATRE PERSPECTIVE.....</b>	<b>130</b>
Dražen Ćučić, Ivona Srnec, Dominik Paparić: <b>POTENTIALS OF ACTIVE TOURISM IN THE AREA OF SLAVONIA AND BARANJA.....</b>	<b>143</b>

Lena Duspara:

**THE INFLUENCE OF TRADITION AND NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES ON THE COMPETITIVENESS AND PROFITABILITY OF ENTERPRISES IN THE METAL PROCESSING INDUSTRY**.....155

Kristian Đokić, Katarina Štavlić, Katarina Potnik Galić:

**USING LOCATION-BASED SOCIAL NETWORKS FOR OPTIMAL PLACEMENT OF TOURIST FACILITIES: ZAGREB CASE STUDY**.....167

Tvrtko Galić, Zvonimir Tomac, Dražen Maleš:

**DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OF ACADEMIC SPORTS IN THE ROLE OF RAISING THE QUALITY OF UNIVERSITY EDUCATION – CASE STUDY OF FACULTY OF EDUCATION OSIJEK 2014–2019** .....182

Tomislav Galović, Petar Mišević, Heri Bezić:

**THE INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIVENESS OF THE EU AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY**.....192

Maja Haršanji, Ivana Bestvina-Bukvić, Marija Šain:

**BUDGET FUNDING AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF CULTURAL AND CREATIVE SECTORS IN CROATIA**.....208

Jelena Kasap, Višnja Lachner:

**COLLECTION OF TESTAMENTARY NOTES AS AN INDICATOR OF THE ECONOMIC SITUATION OF THE INHABITANTS OF THE CITY OF OSIJEK IN THE PERIOD THE SECOND HALF OF THE 19TH CENTURY** .....224

Ivan Kelić, Davorin Turkalj, Antun Biloš:

**DESTINATION BRANDING: MEASURING TOURIST PERCEPTION OF DESTINATION BILJE, EASTERN SLAVONIA** .....240

Anna Kubjatkova, Anna Krizanova, Jana Kliestikova:

**MARKETING MOBILE COMMUNICATION OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC** .....253

Jelena Lakuš, Ines Horvat, Melita Aleksa Varga:

**BOOK ADVERTISING IN NOVINE HORVATZKE, THE FIRST NEWSPAPER IN CROATIAN LANGUAGE**.....263

Jelena Legčević, Marija Prejac:

**IMPACT OF PUBLIC DEBT ON THE ECONOMIC GROWTH OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA**.....280

Biljana Lončarić:

**NEW LAW ON TOURIST BOARDS AND PROMOTION OF CROATIAN TOURISM IN FUNCTION OF TOURISM DEVELOPMENT OF THE SLAVONIAN POSAVINA**.....291

Antun Marinac, Mirela Mezak Matijević, Karolina Štefanac: <b>ROLE AND POSITION OF EUROPEAN REGIONS - ECONOMIC, POLITICAL AND LEGAL ASPECT.....</b>	<b>304</b>
Mirela Mezak Matijević, Antun Marinac: <b>ENVIRONMENTAL LAW TECHNIQUES – EASTERN CROATIA VERSUS GLOBAL STANDARDS.....</b>	<b>319</b>
Zoran Mihanović, Tonka Smoljanović, Ana Marija Sanader: <b>HISTORICAL REGION AS A BASE FOR BRANDING A DESTINATION – THE CASE STUDY OF THE REPUBLIC OF POLJICA.....</b>	<b>330</b>
Ljubica Milanović Glavan, Lucija Ivančić: <b>EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND PROCESS ORIENTATION: CASE OF CROATIAN COMPANIES.....</b>	<b>349</b>
Ljubica Mišković, Zvezdana Hendija: <b>STRATEGIC PLANS AND TOURISM DEVELOPMENT IN HALF CENTURY PERIOD: THE CASE OF DUBROVNIK-NERETVA COUNTY .....</b>	<b>360</b>
Mario Pečarić, Tino Kusanović, Ante Tolj: <b>FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT INFLOWS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES – HETERODOX APPROACH.....</b>	<b>376</b>
Tunjica Petrašević, Tomislav Dagen, Marijana Majnarić: <b>THE LEGAL EFFECTS OF THE Lex Agrokor ACT AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE IN REGIONAL AND SECURITY CONTEXT .....</b>	<b>388</b>
Damir Piplica: <b>ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF CORRUPTION IN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>405</b>
Irena Raguž Krištić, Marko Družić, Marija Logarušić: <b>DETERMINANTS OF FIRM PROFITABILITY IN EASTERN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>421</b>
Melita Šrpak, Silvija Zeman: <b>EXPLORATION AND EXPLOITATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES IN ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION .....</b>	<b>443</b>
Branko Stanić, Simona Prijaković, Mihaela Bronić: <b>WOMEN’S POLITICAL REPRESENTATION AND BUDGET TRANSPARENCY IN CITIES AND MUNICIPALITIES OF EASTERN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>454</b>
Slavko Šodan, Ivana Perica, Maja Vojvoda: <b>AGGREGATE LEVEL OF FINANCIAL REPORTING MANIPULATIONS IN COUNTRIES OF SOUTH-EAST EUROPE .....</b>	<b>469</b>



Branka Tuškan Sjauš, Maja Mihelja Žaja: <b>CROATIAN BANKING AND INSURANCE INDUSTRY: OVERVIEW OF COST EFFICIENCY PERFORMANCE AFTER ACCESSION TO THE EUROPEAN UNION .....</b>	<b>480</b>
Ivana Varičak, Silvija Vitner Marković, Mihovil Žokvić: <b>TOURIST EVALUATION OF THE EVENTS OF TOWN OF KARLOVAC ACCORDING TO VISITORS' EXPERIENCES.....</b>	<b>498</b>
Antonio Vlahov, Danijela Ferjanić Hodak: <b>IMPORTANCE OF GASTRONOMY HERITAGE FOR TOURISM DEVELOPMENT – THE CASE OF MEDITERRANEAN DIET IN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>508</b>
Ivona Vrdoljak Raguž, Aleksandra Krajnović: <b>DEMARKETING AS A STRATEGIC TOOL FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM – THE CASE OF THE CITY OF DUBROVNIK.....</b>	<b>520</b>
Anna Zielińska-Chmielewska, Almir Alihodžić, Luboš Smutka: <b>THE MEASUREMENT OF TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY BY non- radial NR-DEA model IN FOOD PROCESSING SECTOR .....</b>	<b>539</b>
Mira Zovko, Vatroslav Zovko: <b>EXPLORING THE CONCEPT OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY AND ECOINNOVATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>553</b>
<b>2. Entrepreneurship.....</b>	<b>569</b>
Željana Aljinović Barać, Marijana Ćurak: <b>INSIGHTS INTO VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION IN CROATIA .....</b>	<b>570</b>
Filip Bartoluci, Iva Sundji, Dino Bartoluci: <b>AN ENTREPRENEURIAL APPROACH TO SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIFIC TYPES OF TOURISM IN THE EASTERN CROATIA .....</b>	<b>580</b>
Igor Cvečić, Marko Tomljanović, Samanta Botički: <b>GLOBALIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN THE EU .....</b>	<b>588</b>
Teresa Dieguez, Paula Loureiro, Isabel Ferreira: <b>POSSIBLE GUIDELINES FOR ENHANCE ENTREPRENEURIAL ECOSYSTEM .....</b>	<b>601</b>

Marija Dragičević Ćurković: <b>IMPLEMENTATION OF VIRTUAL TECHNOLOGY IN MUSEUMS AND ART GALLERIES.....</b>	<b>316</b>
Lidija Gruber: <b>MAPPING LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE ROLE OF CONSCIOUSNESS IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP COMPETENCE DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>622</b>
Lidija Gruber: <b>THE ROLE OF CONSCIOUSNESS IN THE ENTREPRENEURSHIP COMPETENCES DEVELOPMENT — TOWARDS EMPIRICAL RESEARCH DESIGN .....</b>	<b>637</b>
Domagoj Hruška, Damir Piplica, Tihomir Luković: <b>DRIVING FORCES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL DECLINE OF MAIN URBAN AREAS IN EASTERN CROATIA: HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE .....</b>	<b>652</b>
Veronika Jurickova, Elena Gregova: <b>INFLUENCE OF CROWDFUNDING'S INVESTMENT ON COMPANY'S EARNINGS .....</b>	<b>669</b>
Paula Loureiro, Isabel Ferreira, Teresa Dieguez: <b>SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP AS METHODOLOGY FOR SKILLS IMPROVEMENT ON HIGHER EDUCATION STUDENTS .....</b>	<b>678</b>
Radojko Lukić, Blaženka Hadrović Zekić, Dominika Crnjac Milić: <b>FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF TRADING COMPANIES IN SERBIA USING THE INTEGRATED FUZZY AHPTOPSIS APPROACH .....</b>	<b>690</b>
Ljiljana Najev Čačija: <b>IMPACT OF MARKETING ACTIVITIES ON FUNDRAISING SUCCESS THROUGH DONOR'S MOTIVATION .....</b>	<b>704</b>
Ivana Plazibat, Stipe Marunica: <b>THE INFLUENCE OF GENDER IN M-RETAIL PURCHASING PATTERNS .....</b>	<b>719</b>
Nada Rajić, Mato Bartoluci, Alen Jerkunica: <b>ENTREPRENEURIAL ECOSYSTEM OF THE CITY OF ZAGREB AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT .....</b>	<b>728</b>
Nataša Rupčić: <b>REINFORCEMENT AND CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS OF ERP IMPLEMENTATION: DOES THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT PLAY A ROLE?.....</b>	<b>741</b>

Lenka Strakova, Pavol Durana:

**APPRAISAL OF DETECTION APTITUDES OF EARNINGS  
MANAGEMENT MODELS IN ENTERPRISES IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC .....754**

Eleni R. Theochari:

**THE ROLE OF AFFECT AND COGNITION IN THE  
ENTREPRENEURIAL THINKING AND ACTION .....768**

Eleni R. Theochari:

**THE ROLE OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION IN THE  
FORMATION OF ENTREPRENEURIAL MINDSET: ITS IMPACT  
ON DECISION MAKING .....781**

### **3. Development ..... 797**

Marko Akmačić, Andreja Rudančić:

**CAUSALITY OF THE DETERMINANTS OF GDP GROWTH RATE  
TRENDS AND THE LABOUR MARKET SITUATION IN THE  
REPUBLIC OF CROATIA.....798**

Filip Barić:

**PATIENTS' RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE  
INDICATORS SUCCESS IN HEALTHCARE INSTITUTIONS.....812**

Filip Barić:

**BUILDING CONFIDENCE IN PATIENTS UNDER THE  
RATIONALIZATION OF HEALTH EXPENDITURE .....828**

Ivana Barković Bojanić, Vesna Vučemilović:

**BUSINESS ENTITY RELATIONSHIP WITH THE LOCAL  
COMMUNITY AS PART OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY .....843**

Ivana Bekić, Nerma Saračević, Katica Križanović:

**COMPETITIVENESS AS A DETERMINANT OF MARKET SUCCESS.....855**

Ivana Bestvina Bukvić:

**SIGNIFICANCE OF THE NATIONAL AND EU FUNDING IN  
FINANCING A LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS OF THE ICT SECTOR.....872**

Mateja Brozović, Sanja Sever Mališ, Lajoš Žager:

**FINANCIAL POSITION AND PERFORMANCE - TWO OPPOSING  
CRITERIA?.....886**

Verica Budimir, Vesna Vašiček, Svjetlana Letinić:

**WHO HAS MORE DEVELOPED PERFORMANCE  
MEASUREMENT IN CROATIA? PUBLIC HOSPITALS OR  
HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS .....902**

Domagoja Buljan Barbača, Gina Lugović, Ivana Beljo: <b>FINANCIAL BEHAVIOUR AND WELL-BEING ATTITUDES.....</b>	<b>917</b>
Jan Chutka, Ladislav Vagner: <b>EFFICIENCY OF USING TECHNICAL INDICATORS AS A TOOL FOR PREDICTING FUTURE PRICE MOVEMENTS .....</b>	<b>932</b>
Lorena Dadić: <b>NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AS A STAKEHOLDER IN TOURISM DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>939</b>
Žarko Dobrić: <b>CREATING AND TESTING NEW SERVPERF QUESTIONNAIRE ADJUSTED FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS IN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>955</b>
Martina Dragija Kostić, Davor Vašiček, Sanja Broz Tominac: <b>ACCOUNTING INFORMATION IN THE FUNCTION OF COST MANAGEMENT AT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.....</b>	<b>967</b>
Gordana Dukić, Darko Dukić, Goran Kozina: <b>ONLINE ADVERTISING: EFFECTIVENESS, INFORMATION CONTENT AND ETHICAL ISSUES .....</b>	<b>976</b>
Jelena Đurkin Badurina, Daniela Soldić Frleta, Ljiljana Kaliterna Lipovčan: <b>INDICATORS FOR MEASURING TOURISM IMPACTS ON LOCAL LEVEL: THEORY AND PRACTICE .....</b>	<b>990</b>
Sanja Gutić Martinčić: <b>THE ROLE OF ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS IN THE TRANSFER OF KNOWLEDGE .....</b>	<b>1004</b>
Jasna Horvat, Ivana Jobst, Ana Zrnić: <b>MEASURING VOLUNTEER MOTIVATION IN THE CREATIVE INDUSTRY POPULARIZATION PROJECT .....</b>	<b>1017</b>
Ivana Jadrić, Jasmina Dlačić, Bruno Grbac: <b>ANALYSING INFLUENCES ON SERVICE QUALITY IN HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS: ROLE OF STUDENTS’ INTRINSIC AND EXTRINSIC MOTIVATORS.....</b>	<b>1032</b>
Mirjana Jeleč Raguž, Nikola Raguž, Karolina Štefanac: <b>THE ROLE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM IN INCREASING THE QUALITY OF HIGHER EDUCATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA .....</b>	<b>1047</b>
Sanja Knežević: <b>THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC MOTIVES ON THE TREND OF EMIGRATION FROM THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA.....</b>	<b>1063</b>

Vanja Krajinović: <b>RETHINKING CONTEMPORARY ROLE OF CARRYING CAPACITY IN DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM DESTINATIONS.....</b>	<b>1073</b>
Nataša Lucić, Katarina Marošević: <b>PROTECTION OF CHILD’S RIGHT TO A HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT IN CONTEXT OF LOCAL DEVELOPMENT.....</b>	<b>1088</b>
Ivana Mamić Sačer, Ivana Pavić, Mateja Brozović: <b>THE IMPORTANCE OF ANNUAL REPORTS IN EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF LISTED ACCOMMODATION COMPANIES IN CROATIA.....</b>	<b>1103</b>
Ivo Mijoč: <b>BUSINESS COMBINATIONS OF COMPANIES UNDER COMMON CONTROL.....</b>	<b>1119</b>
Alka Obadić, Hatidža Jahić: <b>IS GOOD GOVERNANCE IMPORTANT PREDICTOR OF EDUCATION QUALITY?.....</b>	<b>1134</b>
Martina Ostojić: <b>THE IMPACT OF MARKETING COMMUNICATION STRATEGY ON BUILDING BRAND PERSONALITY OF A PRIVATE HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION.....</b>	<b>1149</b>
Ana Pap, Ana Živković, Jelena Franjković: <b>DEVELOPING DEMOCRACY WITH POLITICAL MARKETING – THE ROLE OF PERCEIVED POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE .....</b>	<b>1159</b>
Anita Papić, Katarina Knol Radoja, Igor Arežina: <b>LEGAL PERSPECTIVES ON POST-GDPR DEVELOPMENTS AMONG LAW STUDENTS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE STUDENTS AT UNIVERSITY OF OSIJEK .....</b>	<b>1174</b>
Veronika Paurova, Darina Chlebikova, Elena Gregova: <b>PRICE ADJUSTMENT STRATEGIES AS PART OF MARKETING STRATEGIES .....</b>	<b>1185</b>
Anita Pavković, Roman Šubić, Dario Hlupić Radić: <b>CLOSE COOPERATION AS PATH TO THE SINGLE SUPERVISORY MECHANISM.....</b>	<b>1194</b>
Dubravka Pekanov Starčević: <b>TOWARDS A LOW-CARBON ECONOMY AND GROWTH .....</b>	<b>1211</b>

Hrvoje Perčević: <b>HAS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EXPECTED CREDIT LOSS MODEL AFFECTED ON FINANCIAL POSITION AND PERFORMANCE OF BANKING SECTOR IN CROATIA? .....</b>	<b>1222</b>
Martina Pezer: <b>COUPLES, GENDER AND INEQUALITY: THE CASE OF CROATIA.....</b>	<b>1237</b>
Barbara Pisker, Mirjana Radman-Funarić, Hareesh N Ramanathan: <b>GLOBAL ISSUES IN GENDER INEQUALITY: A COMPARATIVE STUDY.....</b>	<b>1246</b>
Monika Poradova, Boris Kollar: <b>CREATIVE ACCOUNTING AS A POSSIBLE SOURCE OF FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOUR IN COMMERCIAL CORPORATIONS .....</b>	<b>1261</b>
Barbara Puh: <b>DESTINATION IMAGE: DIFFERENCES BETWEEN VARIOUS TOURISTS SEGMENTS .....</b>	<b>1272</b>
Aleksandar Racz, Dora Smolčić Jurdana, Jasmina Gržinić: <b>HOTEL MANAGERS' BELIEFS ON THE RELATION BETWEEN TOURISM AND CLIMATE CHANGE.....</b>	<b>1286</b>
Aleksandar Racz, Dora Smolčić Jurdana, Zvonimira Šverko Grdić: <b>ECOLOGICAL AWARENESS OF MANAGERS IN TOURISM ACCOMMODATION INDUSTRY RELATED TO CLIMATE CHANGE ISSUES .....</b>	<b>1300</b>
Lucija Rogić Dumančić: <b>SUSTAINABILITY OF THE TRADE BALANCE OF GOODS IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA.....</b>	<b>1315</b>
Lucija Rogić Dumančić: <b>REWRITING THE EXPORT FUNCTION: THE ROLE OF NON- PRICE COMPETITIVENESS FACTORS IN BOOSTING EXPORTS IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA .....</b>	<b>1326</b>
Danijela Rogina, Ivana Šandrk Nukić: <b>GREEN ROOFS AND SUSTAINABILITY OF URBANISATION .....</b>	<b>1338</b>
Zuzana Rosnerova, Dagmar Hraskova: <b>PRINCIPLES OF FINANCING OF NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS IN SLOVAK REPUBLIC .....</b>	<b>1353</b>
Andreja Rudančić, Marko Akmačić, Petar Mišević: <b>ANALYSIS OF TREND DYNAMICS IN RISK ASSESSMENT OF MULTINATIONAL COMPANIES IN THE RENEWABLE ENERGY SECTOR .....</b>	<b>1365</b>

Vesna Škare Ožbolt, Igor Bojanić, Ivana Nobilo: <b>THE IMPORTANCE OF THE COMMUNICATION FOR THE EFFICIENT COURT MANAGEMENT .....</b>	<b>1377</b>
Ivo Tokić, Ksenija Tokić, Lidija Šajatović, Miroslav Katić: <b>THE CONTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC LIBRARIES TO THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>1389</b>
Daniel Tomić, Saša Stjepanović, Dean Učkar: <b>FROM GREEN GDP TO GREEN GROWTH – STYLIZED MACROECONOMIC MODELLING.....</b>	<b>1403</b>
Maja Vretenar Cobović: <b>FINANCIAL EDUCATION OF THE POPULATION - A KEY FACTOR FOR SUCCESSFUL PERSONAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT .....</b>	<b>1418</b>
Katarina Žager, Nikolina Dečman, Ana Rep: <b>THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON THE ACCOUNTING PROCESS .....</b>	<b>1430</b>
Daria Župan Tadijanov, Jurica Lovrinčević, Dražen Rastovski: <b>LIFE SATISFACTION OF STUDENTS OF DIFFERENT STUDY PROGRAMMES AT THE FACULTY OF EDUCATION AS A POTENTIAL FACTOR OF DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>1445</b>
<b>Gratitude to sponsors .....</b>	<b>1456</b>

*A scientific paper*

**Verica Budimir, PhD, Assistant Professor**

Polytechnic in Požega, Croatia

E-mail address: vbudimir@vup.hr

**Vesna Vašiček, PhD, Full Professor**

Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Zagreb, Croatia

E-mail address: vvasicek@efzg.hr

**Svjetlana Letinić, PhD, College Professor**

Polytechnic in Požega, Croatia

E-mail address: sletinic@vup.hr

## **WHO HAS MORE DEVELOPED PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT IN CROATIA? PUBLIC HOSPITALS OR HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS**

### ***ABSTRACT***

*Public hospitals and higher education institution are an important part of the Croatian public sector for several reasons. The importance of the services they provide is exceptional, and these services are used by most of the population. According to that, the financing or the allocation of significant budget funds is also related to these two sectors. Since they use budget (public) funds, it is important how they manage them. The paper aims to investigate the extent to which managers of public higher education institutions and hospitals use performance indicators as a tool for effectively managing limited public resources. The objectives will be achieved by conducting a survey and analysis of results. A survey questionnaire was prepared and sent to all Croatian public higher education institutions and hospitals at the beginning of 2018. The questionnaire answered 41% of higher education institutions and 36% of the hospitals. Although both types of institution represent budgetary users, there are similarities, but also differences in their organization, the way they perform activities and the way of allocating budget funds. Results show that there are some differences in usage of performance measurement instrument between public hospitals and higher education institutions in Croatia, but in the most aspects they are the same. Higher education institutions are more aware of the importance of strategic planning, and their managers have more developed information needs. However, in other aspects like performance indicators development, comparison and reporting of performance measurement results, usage of performance measurements for decision-making purposes and managers' opinions about the importance and possibilities of their use in business processes are similar. In addition to empirical research, the analysis of relevant world literature from the field of performance measurement of public hospitals and higher education institutions will be carried out. Also, the management system and the financing of these institutions in the Croatian public sector will be explored and presented.*

**Key words:** *performance measurement, management, higher education institution, hospital, Croatia.*



## 1. Introduction

Budget expenditures for education in Croatia amount 4.7% of GDP (higher education is up to 1%), which is in line with EU average of 4.9% of GDP (Sonje et al., 2018, 2317, Eurostat, 2017). Government spending on health care in our county is about 6.2% of GDP per year (Eurostat, 2019) and the EU average is 7% of GDP. It is obvious that the level of public expenditure in these two sectors is high, and the user's needs are even larger. Because of the limited budgetary possibilities, one of the main questions that arise is how effective is the use of these funds, and how successful is the business management of these budgetary users?

The challenges that public hospitals and higher education institutions face around the world include: delivering quality service to everyone who is entitled to it, raising awareness of different stakeholders needs for a high quality and comprehensive information about services and institutions as a whole, contribution to regional development, increasing costs with limited budget resources, the need for greater accountability and trust (Brandao et al., 2013, 392, OECD, 2008, 12, Johnstone, 2009, 1, Zalec, 2013, 67, Smith, 2008).

To meet many challenges and ensure efficient and effective management of the business, public managers must think strategically and entrepreneurially (Taylor and Machado, 2006, 138, Newton, 2003). In their management process, to make successful decisions, they need to move from the traditionally bureaucratic system to cost monitoring, output control and performance measurement. They should rely on entrepreneurial business principles according to the philosophy of New Public Management (Jeurissen et al., 2016, 16, Pollit et al., 2007, Ocampo, 2000, Hood, 1991). The public value paradigm is driven by customer demands in a way that the public sector creates generate trust or fairness, not only through outputs but also through processes, services, laws regulation and other actions (O'Flynn, 2007, Kelly et al. 2002).

Performance management can be defined as the process of defining goals, selecting strategies to achieve those goals, allocating decision rights, and measuring and rewarding performance (Verbeeten, 2008, 430). Performance management and measurement systems can have different roles in public sector organisations. For Hansen and Van der Stede (2004), performance management is useful in operational planning, performance evaluation, communication of goals and strategy formation. Henri (2006) argues performance measurement system used for: monitoring, attention focusing, strategic decision making and legitimization. Performance management and measurement system include systematic, regular and comprehensive capturing, measurement, monitoring and assessment of crucial aspects of organisational and individual performance through explicit targets, standards, performance indicators, measurement and control systems (Diefenbach, 2009). Performance measurement provides the basis for an organisation to assess how well is progressing towards its predetermined objectives, helps to identify areas of strengths and weaknesses, and decides on future initiatives, intending to improve organisational performance (Purbey et al., 2006).

According to McIntyre (2001, 9), the first attempt of performance measurement in health care system occurred more than 250 years ago. Technological and medical advances, the complexity of customer requirements, well-informed healthcare system stakeholders and an ageing population have made it difficult to manage hospital institutions recently (Elg et al., 2011). To meet the many challenges of successful management, healthcare institutions are struggling to find adequate governance models. Many professionals recognize performance measurement as an option in various parts of the system for public transparent reporting, resource funding, administrative control, development and improvement of clinical practice (Elg et al., 2013). Studies report that public disclosure of the results of hospital performance measurement encourages quality improvement activities (Hibbard et al., 2003). Rahimi et al.

(2016) propose Balanced Scorecard model as suitable for evaluating and comparing hospitals' performance.

Public higher education institutions to meet the many challenges of today, such as the budget constraints, demand for increasing the quality of public services, internationalization and competitiveness, the need for greater accountability because of increased autonomy and deregulation institutions, have to be publicly accountable and to show how they put value on money (Campbell and Rozsnyai, 2002, Matei, 2009, Coste and Tiron-Tudor, 2015). Performance indicators are required to improve the quality, accountability and performance of higher education institutions. These indicators ensure the performance of university operating, encourage each university to improve its' disadvantages, and ensure university competitiveness (Chen et al., 2009). There have been a lot of studies about use and consequences of performance indicators in European universities (Lewis et al., 2007, 204) like in UK (Melo et al., 2010, Ball and Wilkinson, 1994), Australia (Guthrie and Neumann, 2007, Taylor, 2002) and New Zealand (Peters, 1992).

## **2. Management and financing of public hospital and higher education institutions in Croatia**

This chapter will discuss the basic characteristics of the activities and financing methods in the higher education and health care system in the Republic of Croatia. Higher education and health care system in Croatia represent the area of public interest in which the public sources of funding (the budget) are prevalent and regulated in terms of the way of doing business, methods of financing, accounting and financial reporting and other business segments.

Higher education is predominantly organized through universities and its constituent units and it is necessary to observe it in correlation with scientific research. Particular emphasis is placed on the quality of the system of higher education and thus enables accreditation of new and re-accreditation of existing higher education institutions according to the system that is compliant with the European Standards and Guidelines (Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area, ENQA, 2015), and is conducted by the independent accredited agency - Agency for Science and Higher Education following the Quality Assurance in Science and Higher Education Law (Official Gazette No. 45/2009). Such normative and administrative regulation of the quality system in higher education was established after the implementation of the higher education institutions establishment process in Croatia and did not have a necessary influence on the current development (Budimir et al., 2018, 9).

According to the assessment presented in the Strategy of Education, Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia, the existing network of higher education institutions in Croatia covers all parts of Croatia, but exceeds the national potential and results with unsustainable high enrollment quota (Croatian National Strategy for Education, Science and Technology, 2014, 108).

Financing of higher education and science from public and other sources in Croatia is extremely complex and encounters funding specifics that are adjusted to the characteristics of teaching and scientific activity. It is not easy to establish financing model that should address multiple challenges: to make higher education accessible to as many students through public funding, to ensure the competitiveness of scientific and teaching activities in national and international context by taking into account the autonomy of universities and government strategies, to regulate public funds spending, to establish transparent basis for complete higher education institutions funding, to establish "value for money" and to present the

achievement of the strategies and objectives of higher education institutions and their contribution to the society development in a comparable manner (Budimir et al. 2018, 9).

Financing the activities of higher education from budget sources is dominantly carried out through lump-sum model. Financing of infrastructure, i.e. teaching and research facilities and facilities of student standards from national budget sources, EU funds or other specific sources, are reported separately from funds intended for carrying out regular activities and is emphasized as a separate budget item in the Ministry of Science and Education budget. Higher education institutions can generate non-budget revenues that are defined as special purpose revenues and own revenues. Special purpose revenues primarily relate to income from students' participation in study costs (part of tuition fees) while their own income is defined as revenue from various projects, elaborates and expertise, publishing activities, renting space and equipment and similar revenues.

In a search for the best model of higher education financing in Croatia, the Ministry of Science and Education has started cooperation with the universities to implement program contracts. The implementation of program contracts assumes decentralization of decision-making on the spending of resources, meaning that higher education institutions need to achieve the best ratio between autonomy and accountability for results and to develop management and operational mechanisms that will ensure the implementation of program contracts. Implementation of program contracts requires professional management mechanisms: new public management, management and cost accounting instruments, internal control system and financial responsibility.

Health care in Croatia is provided on the entire territory as a public service and is aimed at the entire population. The Health Care Act (Official Gazette No. 100/2018) regulates the healthcare principles and measures of health care, the rights and obligations of healthcare beneficiaries, the authorities competent for public healthcare, the content and organisational forms of the provision of healthcare and the supervision of its provision. Everyone is entitled to healthcare and the possibility of the achievement of optimal health level. Healthcare in the Republic of Croatia has been organized on four levels: primary (health centres and nursing homes), secondary (polyclinics, hospitals, health resorts), tertiary (clinics) and health care institutions. Sources of funds and total resources required for the provision of healthcare are limited and health needs, driven by advances in scientific knowledge and health awareness, are increasing and there appears the gap between the demand for health services and the possibilities of their financing.

The healthcare financing system can be classified into three models depending on what is the predominant source of financing: the mandatory health insurance model (Bismarck's model), national healthcare (Beveridge's model) and the market model. The health system in Croatia does not function solely according to a single financing model. It is a combination of Bismarck's model based on mandatory insurance (allocated from salaries) and Beveridge's model based on budget revenues, in case of a deficit of income based on compulsory health contributions.

The financing system in Croatia is predominantly public and the role of private health insurance is negligible. Approximately 80% of the cost of healthcare is financed from compulsory health insurance contributions. The remaining 20% of the cost covers general budget revenues, as well as additional and private health insurance (Croatian Health Insurance Fund, 2019). Funding of health services is performed by the Croatian Health Insurance Fund, which collects revenues (contributions for health insurance and other income) and distributes them to healthcare providers.

The allocation of funds to healthcare providers includes several mechanisms. The payment of primary health care in the health centres is carried out through the principal - the amount per insured person (patient), by paying certain services according to the diagnostic-therapeutic procedure, by participating in special preventive programs. Payment of secondary and tertiary health care (polyclinics, hospitals, health resorts, clinic centres) is based on monthly budgets – limits and the institutions should justify the provided services.

Financing of healthcare institutions from the budget is decentralized and budgetary funds are intended for investment and maintenance of facilities and equipment. In addition to the mentioned sources of funding (contribution for health insurance and general budget revenues), health institutions can earn revenues from other sources: the share of user services in the price of services rendered and other market revenues.

Public institutions such as higher education institutions and health institutions are financed from budgetary funds and are obliged to apply the provisions of the Budget Law and the implementing regulations of Budget Law as well as several other regulations in the area of budget and public finances (fiscal responsibility, financial management and control etc.). They are obliged to conduct budget accounting and compiling reports according to the Budget Act (Official Gazette 87/08) which regulates the area of accounting and financial reporting (Chapter IX Budget Accounting) and reporting on budget execution (Chapter X Semi-annual and Annual Budget Execution Report). The framework for accounting and financial reporting has a general-purpose and does not reflect all the specifics of the activities and sources of funding for public higher education and healthcare. Financial reporting is focused on external requirements, i.e. the requirements of the ministries in charge and the Ministry of Finance. In such a model of financing and reporting higher education institutions and health institutions do not have external incentives, neither did they develop internal incentives for the development of internal accounting instruments (Dragija, 2014, Dragija et al., 2017), management and cost accounting (Vašiček et al., 2011) as well as the development of performance indicators (Budimir, 2011). Therefore, the results of the conducted research should be understood in the above-mentioned context.

### **3. Performance measurement in public hospitals and public higher education institutions in Croatia**

#### **3.1. Objectives and research process**

The paper aims to investigate who has more developed performance measurement in Croatia, public hospitals or public higher education institutions, and to what extent do they apply the results of performance measurements for decision-making purposes. New in our study is a comparison of research results for two important parts of the public sector, hospitals and higher education institutions. Both types of institutions are part of the public sector and should have the same goal, rational management of limited budget funds. Our objective is to determine by which intensity they use important performance measurement information and if they use them at the same level. In case of significant differences, a more detailed analysis of the causes that were not the subject of this research should be conducted.


The objectives are achieved through conducting a survey and analysis of results. A survey questionnaire was prepared and sent to all Croatian public higher education institutions and hospitals at the beginning of 2018. The questionnaire was addressed to the managers of public institutions. According to the Agency for Science and Higher Education (2018), there are 131 higher education institutions in Croatia, of which 104 are public, and 27 are private. The questionnaire answered 43 or 41% of the institutions. According to the Ministry of

Health (2018), there are 59 public hospitals in Croatia. The questionnaire answered 21 or 36% of the hospitals.

### 3.2. Results of empirical research

Strategic management of public institutions' activities is a legal obligation (Budget Law, 2008). However, strategic plans are not just an obligation; they are a precondition for successful management of all business entities so as budgetary users. To determine whether the public sector hospitals and higher education institutions define strategic goals and follow their realisation, in the first part of the questionnaire, we asked them about the existence of strategic goals and reporting about the realisation of the strategy related to the activities of employees in the function of strategy implementation. Chart 1. shows that more public higher education institution in Croatia has developed strategic goals than public hospitals. The reason for that is probably because higher education institutions are regularly evaluated by Agency for Science and Higher Education through reaccreditation process in accordance to European Standard and Guidelines (ENQA, 2015) which prescribe strategic planning as the basis for higher education institutions' business management.


**Chart 1:** The existence of strategic goals in public hospital and higher education institutions in Croatia



Source: Author's research

Since strategic planning is more common in higher education than in health care, it is understandable that reporting about the realisation of the strategy exists or mostly exists in 75% of public higher education institutions and only in 52% of public hospitals in Croatia.

For a successful implementation of the strategy, all employees need to understand strategic goals, at all levels of the internal system, and to inform them about the results achieved within the institution. Results of the empirical study are presented in Chart 2. It is interesting that although higher education institutions have more developed strategic planning when it comes to information about the implementation of plans, they are more oriented to higher levels of management and annual reporting while hospitals present results throughout the year and to the lower levels of management. Generally, at the level of both systems, we can conclude that reporting on business results is mostly related to annual reporting and is very rarely oriented to all employees within the institution.


**Chart 2: Presentation of results within the institution**

Source: Author's research

These results are in correlation with answers to the question about the information needs of public managers. Namely, managers have been asked whether the information presented in the basic financial statements is sufficed for successful business management of the institution. More than 67% of higher education institutions' managers think that this information is mostly or completely sufficient. Managers of public hospitals are more aware of their insufficient for the decision-making process, but also a high percentage of them (57%) think the same as managers of higher education institutions. These results are in line with the responses of Croatian public managers collected in the survey conducted in 2009 (Budimir, 2011, 110) when 79% of budget users answered that the information contained in the annual financial statements is sufficient or largely sufficient for successful management. The basic financial statements are more oriented to external users than managers. For successful business management, a wide range of financial and non-financial information is required.

To determine who has more developed performance indicators in Croatia, public sector hospitals or higher education institutions, we asked how they measure performance. The results, shown in Chart 3, show that as the most commonly occurring forms appear periodic and annual reports (for 62% of hospitals and 47% of higher education institutions). The responses above are again in correlation with the beliefs of public managers that annual reports are sufficient for management purposes. Despite that, some of the respondents conduct a continuous measurement of financial and non-financial performance indicators (more in higher education than in health care). Such results can be linked to the recommendations provided by the ESG standards (ENQA, 2015, 14) on the need to define and monitor key performance indicators in higher education. However, still, less than half of the higher education institutions are continually measuring and tracking performance based on indicators.

Most of the respondents (70% for higher education institutions and 85% for hospitals) define performance indicators based on the need to inform the budget in charge. Own indicators, defined for internal needs, have 56% of surveyed institutions in both sectors. The results indicate a strong correlation between information requirements of the budget authority with public institutions' performance measurement. In hospitals, this link is strengthened, and the reason for this probably is in the fact that public hospitals every year go through the performance measurement evaluation and ranking based on the given sectoral indicators (Croatian Health Insurance Fund, 2014).

**Chart 3: Performance measurement modes**

Source: Author's research

The most important aspects of the monitoring for higher education institutions are professional and scientific-research work (84% of respondents) and resources - material and financial (79% of respondents). Hospitals' performance tracking is most often based on quality (62%) and patients (52%).

Comparison of performance indicators conducts more than 90% of public hospitals and 74% of public higher education institutions. The results of the performance measurement they monitor through time (42% of respondents from higher education and 74% of respondents from hospitals) or compare it with default size (plan) (44% of respondents from higher education and 52% of respondents from hospitals). Only a small part of the institutions (21% of higher education institutions and 33% of hospitals) compares the results with other similar institutions. Comparing performance measurement results is more frequent in hospitals than in higher education. The reason for that probably is already mentioned external evaluation and ranking of hospitals by the Croatian Health Insurance Fund. The comparison of the achieved results with the set goals, previous results and the results of similar institutions is important for assessing the success of achieving strategic goals and program results. It is also important for the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of budgetary users' performance.


Short-term (daily and weekly) decisions are partially based on the results of performance measurement and the majority of the respondents rated it by grades 1-3. Medium-term (monthly) decisions are more based on performance results, but the largest number of respondents use the results of performance measurement in the process of making long-term (strategic) decisions. We can conclude that performance measurement is not of great importance for making day-to-day decisions for higher education institutions, but as the decision-making period is prolonged, the importance of performance measurement is increasing. Hospitals, on the other hand, equally use the results of performance measurement regardless of the time frame for decision making.

To determine the level of use of the performance measurement results in business decision-making, we asked about the importance of performance indicators in business processes such as planning, informing, control, improvement, quality assurance and transparency. We asked respondents about the current state of the use of indicators in business processes and their opinion on the need for their usage. Respondents evaluated the importance from 1 (minimum importance) to 5 (the highest importance).

From Chart 4, it is visible that the observed budgetary users use performance measurement results partially in the planning and allocation of resources. Higher education institutions

partly use performance indicators to improve their business and for monitoring also, but its usage in hospitals is significantly lower. Public managers are aware that the use of performance measurement in these processes should be significantly larger and more significant and that is positive. For all processes, they rated it with high 4.6 and higher average grade.


**Chart 4:** Importance of performance indicators for planning, monitoring and enhancement



Source: Author's research

Hospitals and higher education institutions as budgetary users also partially use performance measurement results for internal and external business control. Performance indicators provide managers and bodies in charge (ministries) with high-quality information to monitor business activities, provide analysis of the resources spent and results achieved and performance monitoring. As can be seen in Chart 5, public managers are aware of their even greater significance and potential for use, especially in internal control processes. Given the developed awareness of the importance of using performance indicators in internal business control procedures, the question arises as to the discrepancy in their application.

**Chart 5:** Importance of performance indicators for internal and external control



Source: Author's research

Performance indicators are partially used for employee rewarding and motivation purposes in higher education institutions (average grade 3.3) and rarely in hospitals (average grade 2.1), but public managers are aware of their greater usability (average rating 4.1). Performance indicators are not used for punishment purposes in both sectors, but managers believe that




they need to be used to some extent (average rating 2.8 for higher education institutions and 3.14 for hospitals).

Performance indicators have high importance in quality assurance procedures of higher education institutions (average rate 4), but they are only partly used for that purpose in hospitals (average rate of 3.48). Hospitals rarely use performance indicators in the self-evaluation process (average rate 2.76) while higher education institutions apply them more (average rate 3.7). However, again, public managers are aware that they could be used more in the evaluation process (average grade 4.5).

While performance indicators are a powerful tool for comparison with other similar institutions, their use is not very common in Croatian budgetary users (average grade 3.1). The managers of these institutions are aware of the greater usage possibilities, in hospitals (4.2) more than higher education institutions (3.7). The use of performance indicators in internationalisation processes is low (average grade 3.2 for higher education institutions and 2.19 for hospitals), while awareness of the possibilities of usage is more developed in both sectors (4.0).

As can be seen in Chart 6, performance indicators are not used to a significant extent for informing the public (average grade 3 for higher education institutions and 3.24 for hospitals) although awareness of their relevance to public managers is a bit more developed (average grade 3.6). Their use in increasing transparency is moderate (average rating of 3.7) for higher education institutions but much lower for hospitals (average grade 3.14). They all see greater future use opportunities (average grade of more than 4.2).

**Chart 6:** Importance of performance indicators for transparency and informing the public



Source: Author's research

Most managers of both types of institutions consider it necessary to define sectoral performance indicators at the state level. They also consider it necessary to define these indicators at the global (European) level.

The most significant indicators that higher education institutions measure include: number of students enrolled, student passage, average student rating, duration of study, student satisfaction, employability of completed students, ratio of student/teacher, results of student assessment for teacher evaluation, teaching excellence, budget implementation, total student expenses, earnings per employee, income by study modules, business efficiency. Hospitals performance indicators measurement includes customer service satisfaction, mortality rate, number of patients, duration of treatment, number of cases, number of repeated admissions,

patient turnover per bed, capacity utilisation, financial results, cost-effectiveness analysis, cost-per-business analysis.

Based on the analysis of the results, we conclude that Croatian public higher education institutions and hospitals to a certain extent apply the results of performance measurements for decision-making purposes. The level of usage differs depending on business processes within the institution. In higher education institutions their usage is most prominent in quality assurance procedures, improvement and development. Croatian hospitals performance indicators partially use for planning, monitoring and quality assurance of services through the adoption of long-term (strategic) decisions.

#### **4. Conclusion**

Analysis of research results showed that there are some differences in usage of performance measurement instrument between public hospitals and higher education institutions in Croatia, but in the most aspects they are similar. Higher education institutions are more aware of the importance of strategic planning and reporting about achieved results. They also have a bit more developed performance measurement system through an internally developed system of performance indicators. On the other hand, public hospitals' managers make more efforts to inform the results achieved through lower management levels, and they are more aware of the need to develop an internal reporting system for successful business management. They also use the results of performance measurements for different comparisons (through time, with default plans and other similar institutions). In other aspects like usage of performance measurements for decision-making purposes and managers' opinions about the importance and possibilities of their use in business processes, they are quite similar. Managers of both types of public institutions use performance measurement results only partially when making business decisions, but they are fully aware of their great benefits in successful business management.

The limitation of this research is in the interpretation of questions and results. Namely, the questionnaire was forwarded electronically, and there is a possible lack of understanding of the questionnaire by hospitals' and higher education institutions' managers. Also, the managers of these institutions are not professional, and their management knowledge may be limited. It is therefore recommended for future studies to interview with individual managers to obtain a deeper and more complete picture of their management needs and possibilities.

Since there are rare researches about the usage of performance measurement in budgetary users' management in Croatia, the contribution of this work to the academic community is visible in presenting the results. The amount of budget funds belonging to hospitals and higher education institutions is considerable, and therefore there is a large need for their effective management. Presented research encourages the general public to monitor the management of these institutions critically. We believe that research will help researchers in Croatia, and other countries, to gain an insight into the importance of good governance information bases and to make a comparison between countries. Also, the conducted research opens space for further researches as to how the performance indicators are used by financiers - the state and the funds, in allocating limited budget funds.

Given the importance of effective governance in all parts of the public sector, we believe that our work is important to the management structures of the investigated entities as well as to other budgetary users, service users, taxpayers, the budget in charge and legislators.

## REFERENCES

- Agencija za visoko obrazovanje (AZVO) (2018): *MOZVAG – Preglednik studijskih programa*. Zagreb: Agency for Science and Higher Education, available at <http://mozvag.srce.hr/preglednik/pregled/hr/tipvu/odabir.html> (02-05-2018)
- Ball, B. and Wilkinson, R. (1994): *The use and abuse of performance indicators in UK higher education*, Higher Education, Vol. 27, pp. 417–427
- Brandão, C. et al. (2013): *Social Responsibility: A New Paradigm of Hospital Governance?* Health Care Anal, Vo. 21., No. 4, pp. 390–402
- Budimir, V. (2011): *Accounting information and performance measurement in the function of budgetary user management*, Doctoral thesis. Zagreb: Faculty of Economics and Business
- Budimir, V. et al. (2018): *Cost Management and Performance Measurement in the Public Higher Education System*, TIM4PIN, d.o.o.za savjetovanje, Zagreb.
- Campbell, C. and Rozsnyai, C. (2002): *Quality Assurance and the Development of Course Programmes*, Papers on Higher Education. Bucharest: United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization; European Centre for Higher Education
- Coste, A. I. and Tiron-Tudor, A. (2015): *Performance measurement in higher education: literature review*, SEA-Practical Application of Science, Vol. 3, No. 2 (8), pp. 175-178
- Chen, S.H., Wang, H.H. and Yang, K.Y. (2009): *Establishment and application of performance measure indicators for universities*, The TQM Magazine, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 220-235
- Diefenbach, T. (2009): *New Public Management in public sector organizations: the dark sides of managerialistic ‘enlightenment’*, Public Administration, Vol. 87, No. 4, pp. 892-909
- Dragija, M. (2014): *Internal reporting in the function of higher education management*, Doctoral thesis. Zagreb: Faculty of Economics and Business
- Dragija Kostić, M., Vašiček, V. and Dražić Lutilsky, I. (2017): *Use of internal reports at Croatian higher education institutions*, Zbornik Ekonomskog fakulteta u Zagrebu, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 69-83
- Elg, M. et al. (2011): *Swedish healthcare management practices and quality improvement work – development trends*, International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance, vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 101–123
- Elg, M., Kollberg, B. and Palmberg, K. (2013): *Performance measurement to drive improvements in healthcare practice*, International Journal of Operations & Production Management, Vol. 33, No. 11/12, pp.1623-1651.
- European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education (2015): *Standards and guidelines for quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG)*, ENQA, Brussels, available at [http://www.enqa.eu/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/ESG\\_2015.pdf](http://www.enqa.eu/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/ESG_2015.pdf) (07-02-2018)

Eurostat (2017) **Total general government expenditure on education, 2017 (% of GDP)**, in: Government expenditure on education, available at: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Total\\_general\\_government\\_expenditure\\_on\\_education,\\_2017\\_\(%25\\_of\\_GDP\).png](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Total_general_government_expenditure_on_education,_2017_(%25_of_GDP).png) (28-01-2020)

Eurostat (2017) **Total general government expenditure on health, 2017 (% of GDP)**, in: Government expenditure on health, available at: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Total\\_general\\_government\\_expenditure\\_on\\_health,\\_2017\\_\(%25\\_of\\_GDP\).png](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Total_general_government_expenditure_on_health,_2017_(%25_of_GDP).png) (28-01-2020)

Guthrie, J. and Neumann, R. (2007): **Economic and non-financial performance indicators in universities, The establishment of a performance-driven system for Australian higher education**, Public Management Review, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 231-252

Hansen, S.C. and Van der Stede, W.A. (2004): **Multiple facets of budgeting: an exploratory analysis**, Management Accounting Research, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 415-439.

Henri, J.F. (2006): **Organizational culture and performance measurement systems**, Accounting, Organizations and Society, Vo. 31, No. 1, pp. 77-103.

Hibbard, J. H., Stockard, J. Tusler, M. (2003): **Does Publicizing Hospital Performance Stimulate Quality Improvement Efforts?**, Health Affairs, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 84-94

Hood, C. (1991): **A Public Management for All Seasons?**, Public Administration, Vo. 69, No. 1, pp. 3-19.

Hrvatski zavod za zdravstveno osiguranje (HZZO) (2014): **Pokazatelji rada bolnica**. Zagreb: Croatian Health Insurance Fund, available at: <http://www.hzzo.hr/hzzo-predstavio-pokazatelje-kvalitete-i-ucinkovitosti-za-bolnicke-zdravstvene-ustanove> (21-08-2015)

Hrvatski zavod za zdravstveno osiguranje (HZZO) (2019): **Annual Report**, Zagreb: Croatian Health Insurance Fund, available at: <https://www.hzzo.hr/o-zavodu/izvjesca/> (18-03-2019)

Jeurissen, P., Duran, A., Saltman, R. B. (2016): **Uncomfortable realities: the challenge of creating real change in Europe's consolidating hospital sector**, in: BMC Health Services Research 2016,16 (Suppl 2): 168, pp. 15-23, available at: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1186%2Fs12913-016-1389-3.pdf> (28-01-2020)

Johnstone, D. B. (2009): **Worldwide Trends in Financing Higher Education**, in: Financing Access and Equity in Higher Education, Brill | Sense

Kelly, G., Mulgan, G. and Muers, S. (2002): **Creating Public Value: An Analytical Framework for Public Service Reform**. Discussion paper prepared by the Cabinet Office Strategy Unit, United Kingdom.

Lewis, D. R., Hendel, D. D. and Kallsen, D. (2007): **Performance Indicators as a Foundation of Institutional Autonomy: Implications for Higher Education Institutions in Europe**, Tertiary Education and Management, Vo. 13, No. 3, pp. 203-226.

Matei, L. (2009): **Romanian Public Management Reform: Theoretical and Empirical Studies, Volume 1: Administration and Public Services**, Economica Publishing Houses, Bucharest

- McIntyre D. et al. (2001): *Overview, history, and objectives of performance measurement*, Health Care Financing Review, Vol. 22, No. 3, pp. 7–21, available at <http://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Research/HealthCareFinancingReview/Downloads/01Springpg7.pdf>, (02-02-2020)
- Melo, A. I., Sarrico, C. S. and Radnor, Z. (2010): *The Influence of Performance Management Systems on Key Actors in Universities; The case of an English university*, Public Management Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 233-254
- Ministry of Health (2018): *Health institutions in the Republic of Croatia*, available at: <https://zdravlje.gov.hr/arhiva-80/ministarstvo-zdravlja/zdravstvene-ustanove-u-republici-hrvatskoj/656> (20-02-2018)
- Newton, J. (2003): *Implementing an Institution-wide Learning and Teaching Strategy: Lessons in Managing Change*, Studies in Higher Education, Vo. 28, No. 4, pp. 427–441
- OECD (2008): *Higher Education Management and Policy*, Volume 20, No. 2, Special Issue: Higher Education and Regional Development, OECD, Paris
- O’Flynn, J. (2007): *From New Public Management to Public Value: Paradigmatic Change and Managerial Implications*, The Australian Journal of Public Administration, Vol. 66, No. 3, pp. 353–366
- Official Gazette (2008): *Budget Law*, Zagreb: Official Gazette 87/08, 136/12, 15/15.
- Official Gazette (2018): *Law on Health Care*, Zagreb: Official Gazette 100/18.
- Official Gazette (2009): *Law on Quality Assurance in Science and Higher Education*, Zagreb: Official Gazette 45/09.
- Official Gazette (2014): *Croatian National Strategy for Education, Science and Technology*, Zagreb: Official Gazette 124/14.
- Ocampo, R. B. (2000): *Models of Public Administration Reform: “New public management (NPM)”*, Asian Review of Public Administration, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 248-255.
- Peters, M. (1992): *Performance indicators in New Zealand higher education: accountability or control?*, Journal of Education Policy, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 267-283
- Pollitt, C., van Thiel, S. and Homburg, V. (2007): *New Public Management in Europe - Adaptation and Alternatives*, Palgrave Macmillan, London
- Purbey, S., Mukherjee, K. and Bhar, C. (2007): *Performance measurement system for healthcare processes*, International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, Vo. 56, No. 3, pp. 241-251
- Rahimi, H. et al. (2016): *Key performance indicators in hospital based on balanced scorecard model*, Journal of Health, Management & Informatics, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 17-24
- Smith, P. C. (2008): *Performance measurement for health system improvement: experiences, challenges and prospects*, in: Background documents prepared for the WHO,

European Ministerial Conference on Health Systems „Health Systems, Health and Wealth”, Tallinn, 25–27 June 2008, WHO

Sonje, A. A., Deskar-Skrbic, M., Sonje, V. (2018): *Efficiency of public expenditure on education: comparing Croatia with other NMS*, in: 12th International Technology, Education and Development Conference, INTED2018 Proceedings, IATED Academy, 5-7 March 2018, Valencia, Spain, pp. 2317-2326

Taylor, J. and Machado, M. de L. (2006): *Higher Education Leadership and Management: From Conflict to Interdependence through Strategic Planning*, in: Tertiary Education and Management, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 137-160

Taylor, J. (2002): *The Impact of Performance Indicators on the Work of University Academics: Evidence from Australian Universities*, Higher Education Quarterly, Vol. 55, No. 1, pp. 42-61

Vašiček, V. et al. (2011): *Upravljačko računovodstvo i računovodstvo troškova u javnom sektoru - izabrane teme / Managerial public sector accounting*, in: Vašiček, V. and Dragija, M. (eds.). Hrvatska zajednica računovođa i financijskih djelatnika, Zagreb

Verbeeten, F. H. M. (2008): *Performance Management Practices in Public Sector Organizations: Impact on Performance*, Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 427-454

Zalec, B. (2013): *Trust, Accountability, and Higher Education*, in: Synthesis philosophica, Vol. 55–56, No. (1–2/2013), pp. 65–81